

**MSDC Housing Revenue Account  
30 Year Business and Financial Plans**

**Update 2017**

## Table of Contents

<b>1.</b>	<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>3</b>
	JOINT STRATEGIC PLAN .....	3
	LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK .....	5
	THE DISTRICT .....	8
<b>3.</b>	<b>30 YEAR FINANCIAL MODEL .....</b>	<b>11</b>
	ASSUMPTIONS .....	11
	RATIONALE FOR ASSUMPTION ADJUSTMENTS .....	11
<b>4.</b>	<b>30 YEAR FINANCIAL PLAN .....</b>	<b>13</b>
	SCENARIO TESTING .....	16
<b>5.</b>	<b>GROWTH AND BUILDING NEW COUNCIL HOMES .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>INCREASING FINANCIAL CAPACITY AND IMPROVING EFFICIENCY .....</b>	<b>19</b>
	HRA COST REDUCTION STRATEGY .....	19
<b>7.</b>	<b>BABERGH AND MID SUFFOLK BUILDING SERVICES (BMBS).....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>8.</b>	<b>THE HOUSING SERVICE.....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>BUSINESS PLAN OWNERSHIP AND REPORTING .....</b>	<b>30</b>
	<b>Appendix A – Summary Improvement Plan.....</b>	

## 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This narrative, in combination with the 30 year financial model, forms the Business Plan for the Housing Revenue Account (HRA). The plan examines various scenarios to assess the impact of a shifting financial landscape and changing government policy. It also identifies the risks to the financial strength of the HRA and how the Council will manage and mitigate those risks. The key issues are as follows:

- The Mid Suffolk District Council HRA is not in a strong position. Financial analysis shows that it faces some substantial challenges in the coming years and action will need to be taken to avoid a breach of its debt cap within 8 years.
- The financial position in the plan has deteriorated since the last review mostly due to an increasing number of Right to Buy (RTB) sales and the resulting reduction in rental income.
- Although a potential breach of debt cap is projected within 8 years, there is time to make business adjustments to bring this back in line. The options for action are covered in this paper.
- Regardless of the financial position, the needs and aspirations of the District's diverse communities are changing and the way the Council operates and manages its HRA must adapt in order to deliver the outcomes agreed in the Joint Strategic Plan.
- The Council has already embarked on a new build programme that will deliver 38 new homes for rent and shared ownership by 2018. The Council has approved a new joint affordable homes development strategy with Babergh which lays out a direction and methodology for the delivery of approximately 60 more new homes. These will be mostly for rental and managed within the HRA.
- Given the current position, development of further new build may well be curtailed for 3 to 5 years thereafter.
- The work that has been done to understand and measure risk and to stress test the underlying financial strength of the 30 year HRA business plan, indicates that despite the financial challenges of welfare reform and specifically Universal Credit, the 1% rent reduction and increasing RTB sales the Council's HRA is able with some economies to contribute to the delivery of several Joint Strategic Plan outcomes.
- The previous Government indicated its intention, in the Housing and Planning Act 2016, to introduce a high value asset levy on local authorities. The expectation is that Councils will sell high value homes when they become vacant, although Councils would be able to raise funds to meet the levy in other ways. No detail on the levy had been announced prior to dissolution of parliament and on the advice of the Chartered Institute of Housing (CIH), no account has been taken of it in the financial plan. If the new Government proceeds with implementation it would significantly reduce HRA financial capacity.

## 2. BACKGROUND

### JOINT STRATEGIC PLAN

Through the Joint Strategic Plan, Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils' vision is to create an environment where individuals, families, communities and businesses can thrive and flourish. The plan aims to deliver five strategic outcomes. The HRAs will contribute to the following four JSP outcomes.



HRA business planning has a key role to play in the delivery of all four outcomes. It is fundamental to the Housing Delivery and Assets and Investments outcomes.

The business plans sit very firmly in the wider businesses of both Councils and needs to be understood in the context of the Councils':

- Housing delivery strategy
- Joint local plan
- Assets and investment strategy
- Joint Affordable Homes Development strategy
- Public access and accommodation strategy (All Together programme)

And the:

- Suffolk Strategic Planning and Infrastructure Framework
- Suffolk Housing Proposal which will inform the NALEP new Economic Strategy
- Suffolk older persons housing strategy.

## FUTURE VISION FOR HOUSING

The Government's white paper "Fixing our broken housing market" published in February 2017 evidenced the "broken" nature of the UK's housing market and identified the root cause as insufficient new home building over decades.

Although the White Paper was light on detail around substantial change to the housing market and did not, for example, modify the current approach to Council borrowing or rent setting, it does present an opportunity for the Councils to reconsider the long term role of the HRAs in delivering the outcomes described in the Joint Strategic Plan (JSP).

This is timely given the work already underway in Suffolk around regional housing strategy, identifying the role local authorities will play in accelerating delivery as well as influencing what is delivered, and where Councils might reimagine the role their housing assets will play in meeting future need.

Whatever future strategy is adopted, we will need to test how far the Councils will want to continue being landlords and how the Councils will deliver the best service at the lowest cost, manage within the statutory financial framework whilst maximising provision of new or reconfigured housing for future and existing residents. We need to continue and strengthen the move away from a generic, paternalistic approach with our tenants to one that is much closer aligned to delivery of JSP outcomes.

This means a renewed focus on the role of the Councils' housing, increasing income, and improving performance, efficiency, productivity and value for money.

The Councils recognise that council housing residents have individual needs and requirements and that this demands intelligent services tailored to different customer segments. Much good work is already underway, for example, in the way the Council deals with income management through use of customer insight to drive a resident focussed approach that is efficient and effective.

New ways of working will need to be devised that will enable us to target our limited resources at residents that need our help most at a particular point in their lives. We will need to extend use of new technology and financial tools to enable us to better understand our portfolio and our residents and what they value in order to make us more cost effective and create additional capacity to deliver our priorities for the HRA.

There are a number of other emerging strategies and reviews that will either feed into or impact on the HRA Business Plan in the coming year some directly, some indirectly. These are:

Item	Date
BMBS Review	May 2017
B&MSDC housing strategy	May 2017
NALEP economic strategy (published)	September 2017
Suffolk housing proposal commences	May 2017
Government white paper response finalised	May 2017
Suffolk Strategic Planning and Infrastructure Framework	Summer 2017
Review of the role of the HRA	Autumn 2017
B&MSDC Supported Living review	Winter 2017
Suffolk older persons housing strategy	Winter 2017

## LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

There have been several legislative changes in recent years that have had an impact on the sustainability of the Council's HRA business plan. The changes and the impacts are outlined below.

### **Localism Act 2011**

#### **Self-financing**

The Act replaced the HRA subsidy system with a system of self-financing, the most radical changes for 30 years to the way in which Councils manage their Council house finances. From April 2012, Mid Suffolk took on a share of the national housing debt calculated by the Government as its debt settlement. The self-financing debt settlement figure was £57.5m. Mid Suffolk's total maximum loan portfolio became £90.9m (the debt cap). The current debt is £86.8m leaving headroom of £4.1m.

The introduction of self-financing required the Council to take a long term strategic approach to its finances using a 30 year business plan. The plans must take into account the environment in which the Council is operating. It must be robust and sustainable over a 30 year period having taken into account reducing Government subsidy and its requirements to finance:

- The housing service
- Investment and maintenance of its existing assets
- New homes development

#### **Right to Buy**

The discount was increased to 70% of value or £77,900 whichever is the lower. This led to a substantial increase in the number of sales which will result in a significant reduction in the Council's future rental income.

#### **New model of affordable housing**

The affordable rent tenure regime sets maximum rents for this tenure at up to 80% of local market rents and applies to all new build schemes receiving grant from the

Homes and Communities Agency (HCA) including new council housing. The Council can increase rents on vacant homes when re-let but only if the increased revenue contributes to development capacity. The Council will need to determine its policy on this point. This is noted at line M in the Improvement Plan in appendix 1.

## **Welfare Reform Act 2012**

The Government's welfare reform measures are aimed at:

- Reducing the overall benefits bill
- Increasing incentives to work
- Promoting independence and self-reliance
- Creating greater fairness in the welfare system between those on out of work benefits and taxpayers in employment
- Reducing long term dependency on benefits

### **Social rent reduction**

The reduction in rents by 1% a year for four years (until 2019/20) has a major impact on long term HRA capacity.

### **Universal credit**

A replacement for six means tested benefits and tax credits with one universal payment. UC will be rolled out in Mid Suffolk in late 2017/early 2018. Based on evidence from pilot programmes, its introduction substantially increases risk around rent arrears and bad debts.

### **Spare room subsidy**

The reduction in housing benefit for working age tenants who under occupy their homes has resulted in greater demand for one and two bedroom Council properties.

### **The benefit cap**

A cap on the maximum a household can receive in benefits to £20,000 and for single people without children, the cap is £13,400.

## **Housing and Planning Act 2016**

The Housing and Planning Act made widespread changes to housing policy and the planning system. The Act is intended to promote homeownership and boost levels of housebuilding in England. The key changes affecting Council housing are outlined are:

### **High income social tenants – mandatory rents (Pay to Stay)**

The Act provides local authorities with the option to charge higher rents to tenants with a household income exceeding £60,000. The Council has decided not to adopt Pay to Stay.

### **High Value Asset Sales**

The Act imposes a duty on local housing authorities to consider selling higher value homes when they become vacant. The definition of 'higher value' will be clarified in

regulations yet to be published. The payment will take the form of a levy, giving local authorities a choice in how they raise the funds. The money will fund housing association Right to Buy discounts and new house building.

As the detail around this issue has yet to be published, and on advice from the CIH, we have not included anything in our financial assumptions relating to it. There is the potential for implementation to have a significant negative impact on the HRA.

### **Fixed Term Tenancies**

Lifetime (secure) tenancies for Council houses will be replaced with finite or fixed term tenancies of up to ten years. All other tenancy rights, including the right to buy, will remain.

The Housing Minister reaffirmed the Government's commitment to these policies in a letter to local authorities in November 2016 and in the February 2017 White Paper 'Fixing our broken housing market'. Implementation appears likely to be April 2018 at the earliest.



## THE DISTRICT

### **Demographic information – Mid Suffolk DC**

#### **Population**

Mid Suffolk is a rural district within the centre of Suffolk with the main population areas of Elmswell, Eye, Needham Market and Stowmarket. Overall, the district has a population of approximately 99,120. Since the publication of the last business plan (2012), there has been an increase in the population of the district of 14,130.

Suffolk's population is growing, but more slowly than regional and national trends. Since 2009, the rate of growth in Suffolk has slowed down and the county's population has increased by around 3 per cent compared with 4 per cent for England and 5 per cent for the East.

Across Suffolk's districts, population changes have been very different. For example, Babergh is growing particularly slowly and in contrast Mid Suffolk is growing faster than the average of England.

The latest population estimates for age composition in the Housing Market Area (SHMA) shows that between 2005 and 2015 the number of people aged 60 or over markedly increased. In contrast, the number of people aged between 30 and 44 decreased.

Mid Suffolk's population is forecast to increase by 15% to 116,700 by 2035. According to this growth forecast figure, 13,350 people are expected to be aged over 80 (11.4%). This poses challenges for us in terms of how we adapt our services and work with our communities to meet the needs of an ageing population.

Rurality is pertinent to the issue of housing need because rural households are exposed to a series of additional challenges including extra transport costs, particular housing needs (such as higher domestic fuel costs) and access to essential services, educational choices and employment opportunities.

Research suggests that people living in rural villages and hamlets need to be able to spend between 15 and 25 per cent more than their urban counterparts in order to be able to afford the same, minimum socially acceptable standard of living. (Hidden Needs Report 2011-2016). This means that income deprivation in rural households has an even greater impact than it does in urban areas. For people living in poverty and hardship and for those on a low income, difficulties are exacerbated by barriers to accessing services and the higher additional costs associated with living in the countryside.

#### **Household Size**

The Census 2011 shows that the average household size has changed since 2001. In Mid Suffolk, the average household size was 2.41 in 2001, dropping to 2.36 in 2011. The population has increased at a slower rate than the number of households between 2001 and 2011, resulting in a falling average household size.

#### **Household composition**

Figures taken from the Census 2011 indicate that there are more one person households than any other household type in the Mid Suffolk district. The overall

household distribution does not differ notably from the regional and national averages.

### **Change in household types**

The Census 2011 looks at the percentage change in household groups between 2001 and 2011 at district level. The figures show that lone parent households have increased most notably and that there has been a fall in the number of couple households.

Overall, in the Housing Market Area (SHMA), it is interesting to note that households with non-dependent children have increased whilst the number of households with dependent children has decreased. This suggests that household formation rates amongst young adults may have reduced.

### **The housing market**

Mid Suffolk is a relatively expensive places to live, partly because of the desirability of the area, and partly because the supply of new homes of all types has not kept pace with the demand over recent years. For many residents including young families, this makes owning their own property impossible in the short to medium term.

In Mid Suffolk the median house price to salary ratio is 9.2. This is comparable to many areas of London and higher than the national average of 6.96. This is because of the proximity to more expensive areas such as Essex and London to the south, and Bury St Edmunds and Cambridge to the west, and the ability of people to commute from Ipswich and Stowmarket rail stations and by car, to areas which offer higher incomes. Adding to this problem is the lack of smaller dwellings available for purchase, making affordable housing a significant issue.

In August 2016, the average price of a house in Mid Suffolk was £245,783, 4% higher than the national average of £235,573 and an increase of 13.5% from August 2015 when the average price was £216,531. The average first time buyer will pay around £231,323 for their first home. An average former owner occupier will pay around £311,280. The average private rent per calendar month is £595 in Mid Suffolk.

Many of the Council's tenants are facing hardship caused by the rising costs of basic goods and services in particular rising energy bills. The high cost of housing, together with relatively low earnings suggests a strong and ongoing need for affordable housing.

### **Economic factors**

The district of Mid Suffolk has a small local economy with much of the workforce commuting outside Suffolk. Many local jobs are less skilled and lower waged than elsewhere in the country which has an effect on housing affordability. Mid Suffolk has an unemployment rate of 3.2%, below the UK average of 5.1%. Latest information (November 2016) shows there were approximately 4,010 (6.8%) benefit claimants in Mid Suffolk. The East has 9.6% and the Great Britain average is 11.8%. Mid Suffolk has 1,867 recipients of part or full housing benefit and 450 (0.8%) out of work benefit claimants.

### **Council housing stock**

Table 1 provides details of Mid Suffolk's current housing stock

	<b>Bedsit</b>	<b>Bungalow</b>	<b>Flat</b>	<b>House</b>	<b>Total</b>
General needs	4	1136	241	1463	<b>2844</b>
Sheltered	0	136	249	0	<b>385</b>
Shared ownership	0	1	2	10	<b>13</b>
Leasehold	0	0	60	0	<b>60</b>
Temp accommodation	0	0	4	2	<b>6</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1273</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>1475</b>	<b>3308</b>

Table 1

The Council also owns and manages 1,089 garages. Total projected rental income from houses and garages in 2016/17 was £15,511,008. This figure includes rental income, garage income and service charges. An average rent in 2016/17 is £84.16 a week, equivalent to £364.69 a month.

### Housing need

Table 2 provides details of the number of people on the Council's housing register. Vacant dwellings are allocated through a Choice Based Lettings system (Gateway to Home Choice) in partnership with seven other local authorities.

**Table 2 – MSDC- Number of people on waiting list (by need) at November 2016**

<b>Band</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>Total</b>
1 bed	3	39	147	26	233	448
2 bed	32	27	65	11	159	294
3 bed	5	26	10	4	40	85
4 bed	2	8	2	0	4	16
5 bed	0	1	0	0	1	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>437</b>	<b>845</b>

Table 2

Around 50% of those on the waiting list are in the lowest band – these people are considered adequately housed, typically those in private rent, owner occupiers and those with an existing social housing tenancy which is deemed suitable. These people have no particular need to move.

The number of people on the Council's housing register has decreased since 2012. This may be attributed to the introduction of Choice Based Lettings (CBL). CBL is a more transparent way of advertising and allocating housing, and allows applicants to see how likely it is that they will be housed by the Council – this may deter people from joining the register if they would be a low priority.

### 3. 30 YEAR FINANCIAL MODEL

#### ASSUMPTIONS

Since the previous iteration of the business plan, a range of assumptions have been adjusted to reflect the current operating environment and future pressures and capacity. The Table 3 highlights the previous assumptions in the plan and the new assumptions whilst Table 4 those assumptions that are unchanged.

Item	Current Assumption	New Assumption
Rent Increase	CPI+1% for the life of the plan after the 4 year rent reduction policy stops	CPI <u>only</u> for 2 years after the 4 year rent reduction policy stops, then CPI+1% for the remainder of the plan
Provision for Bad Debt	0.51% all Years	0.25% increase each year for the next three years, plateau for two years followed by reduction by 0.25% for two years then fixed for the life of the plan
Right to Buy Sales	27 sales for all years to Year 15 then 4 sales each year for the remainder of the plan	32 sales each year to year 11 then 25 each year for the remainder of the plan

Table 3

Description	Unchanged Assumption
Basis for settlement	Potential to repay settlement loan by Year 25
Inflation and Interest rates	RPI - 2.5% CPI – 1.5%
Management costs	Inflation long term at 2.5%
Voids – BDC/MSDC	0.93%/1.26%
Repairs costs	Inflation long term at 2.5%

Table 4

#### RATIONALE FOR ASSUMPTION ADJUSTMENTS

##### **Rent Increase**

Although difficult to predict, the assumption made on rent increases is that Government policy may not return directly to CPI+1% following 4 years of rent reduction. The assumption on rents is cautious but since the impact can be profound it is considered appropriate to model a small period at CPI only (1.5%) and then a return to CPI+1% for the remainder of the plan. The Government's white paper makes it clear that the rent reduction regime will continue as planned (until 2020) but that this might be eased subsequently. In the absence of a firm commitment, a prudent approach is appropriate.

**Bad Debt**

The assumption made on provision for Bad Debt has changed significantly and reflects the predicted impact of the roll-out of Universal Credit on arrears levels. The assumption is a sharp rise, a plateau as tenants become more familiar with the system then a reduction and further plateau marginally higher than the starting point for the remainder of the plan.

**Right to Buy**

Right to buy sales have a significant impact on future rental streams and on capital 'match funding' where receipts are kept for future acquisitions or development. MSDC has seen an increase in sales at around 32 per year for the last two years. Given the impact it is considered prudent to model this to year 11 followed by a tailing off of sales. The current plan had an historic 4 per year sales for the final years of the plan. This has been adjusted to 25, a figure considered more realistic in light of current sales and government policy.

**Babergh and Mid Suffolk Building Services (BMBS)**

There was no specific identification of the new building company within the previous business plan. A new tab has now been added to the plans with predicted costs of the venture and its projected losses and surpluses apportioned across the two Council HRA financial plans. The BMBS business plan projections have undergone detailed review as there are concerns about the projections and costs and the reliability of those figures in the original plan. A summary of the key elements of this review can be found in Section 7 below.

#### **4. 30 YEAR FINANCIAL PLAN**

The Mid Suffolk HRA is not in a strong position. Financial analysis shows that the HRA faces some substantial challenges in the coming years and action will need to be taken to maintain compliance. The financial position in the plan has deteriorated since the last review mostly due to the impact of welfare reform and increasing levels of right to buy sales and the resulting reduction in rental income.

A potential breach of the debt cap is forecast around year 8-10. However, there is time to make business adjustments to bring this back in line. A plan has been developed and is outlined in section 6 of this report.

##### **Current Plan status and Risks**

Chart 1 below shows a debt cap breach over the life of the plan. Capital Funding available falls below the amount required. Although this is based upon revised and prudent assumptions, and the actual position may prove to be better, action does need to be taken to ensure the sustainability of the plan.

Historically issues with financial capacity have been addressed by making cuts to future capital spend projections. A cut was included and approved in the HRA budget for 2017/18.

This is a very blunt tool which has the potential for several negative consequences:

- Deterioration in stock condition
- Higher spend requirement building up long term
- An increase in more expensive day to day repairs
- Longer void turnaround periods and an increase in hard to let properties
- Reduction in BMBS turnover and so impact on BMBS viability

For these reasons and others, further reductions in capital spend are not being explored. Instead we have assumed an increase in spend to a benchmark average of £1,100 per home per annum from 2018/19. The financial plan will be updated with bespoke capital spend forecasts to be used from April 2018 following completion of the stock condition survey scheduled for 2017 as shown in the Improvement Plan attached at appendix A.

##### **MSDC Specific Efficiency Savings**

There are a range of more appropriate options open to the Council to maintain viability of the HRA and avoid breach of the debt cap including:

- A reduction of management and other overhead costs
- The return of RTB receipts
- Disposal of assets
- Improvement in performance, e.g., void and arrears management and the reduction in bad debt


Analysis shows that achieving £300,000 of efficiencies (cost savings and/or income increases) over the three year period to 2020/21 will prevent a breach of the debt cap and make the MSDC HRA business plan compliant.

This is a significant figure but one that the Supported Living team believes is achievable and a plan has been developed to deliver it.

A detailed analysis of the options and the efficiency plan is shown in section 6 below.

**Charts illustrating the MSDC HRA financial position before and after efficiencies are applied;**

*Chart 1 – Pre Efficiency plan (£m)*



The chart shows the required borrowing being at or near the debt cap between 2028 and 2032. This assumes £1,100 capital expenditure per property per year from 2018/19 for 29 years and adding in RTB acquisitions for years 21 to 25 that were originally missing.

This has resulted in more planned maintenance spend in the earlier years than previously forecast but less spend from year 10 onwards. The chart shows how close the HRA Capital Funding Requirement (CFR) is to the Debt Cap per year and is **before** any savings for 2018/19 to 2020/21 have been identified.

*Chart 2 – Post efficiency plan (£m)*




Chart 2 includes savings identified for 2018/19 to 2020/2021 as detailed in section 6. This brings the Capital Funding Requirement below the Debt Cap for the whole 30 year programme

## HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT 5 YEAR PROJECTIONS

### Mid Suffolk District Council

Year	2017.18	2018.19	2019.20	2020.21	2021.22
£'000	1	2	3	4	5
<b>INCOME:</b>					
<b>Total Income</b>	<b>15,551</b>	<b>15,506</b>	<b>15,389</b>	<b>15,626</b>	<b>15,856</b>
<b>EXPENDITURE:</b>					
General Management	-2,011	-1,974	-2,033	-2,092	-2,154
Special Management	-1,052	-1,029	-1,063	-1,099	-1,136
Other Management	-191	-108	-64	-11	95
Bad Debt Provision	-111	-145	-179	-182	-149
Responsive & Cyclical Repairs	-2,881	-2,497	-2,514	-2,526	-2,579
<b>Total Revenue Expenditure</b>	<b>-6,247</b>	<b>-5,754</b>	<b>-5,853</b>	<b>-5,910</b>	<b>-5,923</b>
Interest Paid	-3,042	-3,164	-3,263	-3,286	-3,277
Interest Received	27	15	17	16	16
Depreciation	-3,407	-3,445	-3,445	-3,445	-3,531
<b>Net Operating Income</b>	<b>2,883</b>	<b>3,158</b>	<b>2,845</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>3,140</b>
<b>APPROPRIATIONS:</b>					
Revenue Contribution to Capital	-3,597	-2,048	-2,327	-2,404	-3,220
<b>Total Appropriations</b>	<b>-3,597</b>	<b>-2,048</b>	<b>-2,327</b>	<b>-2,404</b>	<b>-3,220</b>
<b>ANNUAL CASHFLOW</b>	<b>-713</b>	<b>1,110</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>596</b>	<b>-80</b>
Opening Balance	1,776	1,062	2,172	2,690	3,287
<b>Closing Balance</b>	<b>1,062</b>	<b>2,172</b>	<b>2,690</b>	<b>3,287</b>	<b>3,206</b>

Table 5

The HRA Business Plan model is used to forecast dwelling rent and other income, loan interest payments and Revenue Contributions to Capital. The budget for the current year has already been agreed and shows general management costs dropping over the 5 year period as a result of efficiencies. There is a negative position on cash flow in year 1 but the closing balance remains strong over the period.



**HOUSING 5 YEAR CAPITAL PROJECTIONS**  
**Mid Suffolk District Council**

Year	2017.18	2018.19	2019.20	2020.21	2021.22
£'000	1	2	3	4	5
<b>EXPENDITURE:</b>					
Planned Variable Expenditure	-1,391	-1,825	-1,755	-1,655	-1,340
Planned Fixed Expenditure	-2,033	-1,770	-1,835	-1,930	-2,242
Disabled Adaptations	-200	-200	-200	-200	-200
Other Capital Expenditure	-3,772	-4,034	-4,308	-4,768	-5,250
New Build Expenditure	-619	-80	0	0	0
<b>Total Capital Expenditure</b>	<b>-8,016</b>	<b>-7,909</b>	<b>-8,097</b>	<b>-8,554</b>	<b>-9,032</b>
<b>FUNDING:</b>					
Major Repairs Reserve	2,333	3,795	3,769	3,706	3,374
Right to Buy Receipts	840	856	709	709	709
Other Receipts/Grants	0	0	0	305	154
HRA CFR Borrowing	115	0	0	0	0
HRA Reserves	1,132	1,210	1,292	1,431	1,575
Revenue Contributions	3,597	2,048	2,327	2,404	3,220
<b>Total Capital Funding</b>	<b>8,016</b>	<b>7,909</b>	<b>8,097</b>	<b>8,554</b>	<b>9,032</b>

Table 6

Capital spending remains constant throughout the life of the plan although in the current year planned expenditure has been lowered pending the outcome of stock condition and asset appraisal work.

**SCENARIO TESTING**

The Business Plan financial model created and supported by the Chartered Institute of Housing enables us to forecast income and expenditure and their impacts on the financial health of the HRA over a 30 year period.

There are a number of factors which will have a significant impact on the HRA finances. Scenario Testing is important in order to assess the relative scale and impact of changes from the base assumptions in the HRA Business Plan financial model.

The greatest risk to the sustainability of the HRA at this time is the levy on the sale of high value assets (HVAs). In the autumn statement 2016 the Government announced that the levy would not be introduced in 2017/18. The size of the levy remains unclear at the time of writing and, because of this uncertainty, we have not built any assumptions into the financial plan relating to it, on advice from the CIH.

Table 7 - Sensitivities against the base Business Plan

Sensitivity	Year 30 HRA Base Position £m	Year 30 ("Cost") / Benefit to HRA £m
Base Position	71.2	-
High Value Asset Levy £750k	49.4	(21.8)
1% increase in CPI from 20/21	132.8	61.6
1% reduction in CPI from 20/21	24.9	(46.3)
1% annual increase in capital programme building costs	24.1	(47.1)
Rents increased only by CPI	13.9	(57.3)
2 extra Right to Buy sales per year	68.8	(2.4)
5 less Right to Buy sales per year	77.2	14

Table 7

## **5. GROWTH AND BUILDING NEW COUNCIL HOMES**

A development programme of 38 homes for rent and shared ownership is already underway and there is financial capacity within the HRA to develop a further 60 homes. We will fund this pipeline using HRA resources including: earmarked development funds; Right to buy receipts; Homes and Communities Agency Grant Funding; existing HRA owned land such as garage sites.

Housing developments will also be brought forward by taking opportunities which arise within the HRA estate by making best use of our existing HRA assets to maximise development opportunities:

- Turnover of HRA homes – voids
- Garden severances and infill opportunities
- Garage site opportunities
- Review of existing housing that is no longer fit for purpose as a result of low demand or the asset is uneconomical to maintain or has a high value
- Joint ventures with neighbouring landowners

Although MSDC has no tangible headroom beyond the projected 98 homes, planning for future headroom and development still needs to be undertaken. While we build our intelligence base to inform longer term development plans, we have the following development and acquisition activity happening already:

- We have commissioned a desk top exercise which will identify all existing HRA land and potential regeneration opportunities. These opportunities will then be appraised to create a pipeline of estate regeneration based delivery
- We are working with private developers to secure direct purchase of new build homes to utilise RTB receipts and ensure the viability and sustainability of such acquisitions
- We will work with agents to source land opportunities for development. The level of funding required will be dependent on opportunities but a fund will be set aside to support this
- The existing HQ site in Needham Market may provide opportunities for HRA investment in housing. Options for the site will be developed in late 2017.

## 6. INCREASING FINANCIAL CAPACITY AND IMPROVING EFFICIENCY

### HRA COST REDUCTION STRATEGY

Financial analysis shows that operational efficiency gains of £300,000 over a 3 year period starting from 2018/19 will prevent a potential breach of the debt cap in the Mid Suffolk HRA.

The Supported Living team has developed an efficiency plan to deliver these savings.

There is no pressing financial need for the Babergh HRA to operate more efficiently and one approach could be to deliver different service level to residents in the two Councils based on what the HRA can afford. The approach being taken, however, is to avoid differential service level, because of the operational complexities and inefficiencies this would create. The aim instead is to maintain the same service levels across the two Councils and for Babergh to also benefit from any operational efficiencies achieved.

There will continue to be very different levels of new Council house building/acquisition across the two Councils because of the underlying differences in financial capacity.

The operational efficiencies that the Supported Living team have identified and plan to deliver over the 3 year period are:

#### **Sheltered Housing Service Charges**

The recent review showed that existing sheltered housing service charges fell far short of recovering the cost of delivering sheltered services.

For 2017/18, a 30% increase with a £4 cap has been approved by the Council. The charges could be increased by the same amount in 2018/19. This will result in additional income to MSDC of £54,000 in 2017/18 and £60,000 in 2018/19.

#### **Sheltered Housing Salary Costs**

A review of the staffing levels was also undertaken as part of the changes proposed to sheltered housing schemes. The approved changes will result in a reduction in staff numbers resulting in a saving of £51,000 in 2017/18 and a further £20,000 in 2018/19.

#### **BMBS/Property Services**

Savings of around £100k per council for the 3 financial years 2018/19 to 2020/21 can be realistically achieved through improved procurement.

#### **Lettable Standard**

The lettable standard for both councils has been aligned but is currently being value engineered as part of this review.

#### **Rechargeable Work and Enforcement**

An improved tenant recharge process will ensure that costs incurred through abuse of Council properties will be recovered whenever possible. An estimated additional income £7,500 per year is expected.

#### **Introduction of Service Charges for General Needs Stock**

The Councils do not currently charge for services provided over and above those required by statute. Costs incurred by the HRA for services such as grounds maintenance, cleaning, and communal utilities could be recovered from tenants in the form of a service charge. Additionally there are opportunities to consider the introduction of management or caretaking fees that could enhance the service offered to residents.

Further work is required to fully understand the steps and implications of this but there is the potential to recover significant costs from residents receiving services rather than being subsidised by the HRA as a whole.

### **Void Turnaround Improvement**

On average, 200 Council properties are vacated and relet each year in Mid Suffolk. During the time they are untenanted no rent is received and the councils are liable for council tax. Whilst the average time to re-let properties has reduced over the last three years it remains higher than average for social landlords. The table below shows the re-let time for all types of property from April 2014.

	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
<b>MSDC (days)</b>	66	42	35

The table below shows the total lost rent due to void periods. These figures include rent loss relating to properties awaiting sale and those which are vacant pending demolition.

	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
<b>MSDC (£)</b>	291,730	265,298	195,377

A reduction of 7 days in the average void time would reduce rent loss by around £16,000. It would also reduce the amount of council tax payable by the HRA by around £3,500.

The re-let process involves a number of different activities and members of staff in different teams and roles. It involves administrative tasks relating to the ending of one tenancy and the commencement of a new one, visiting the property prior to vacation, carrying out safety checks and bringing properties to the councils' agreed lettable standard and allocating to a new tenant.

Ensuring that the new process is lean and efficient and minimises delays is key to reducing the void time and is the first area of performance improvement focus following the launch of BMBS.

### **Target for reduction of void times**

	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20
<b>MSDC (days)</b>	35	28	21

In order to achieve an average re-let time of 21 days repair work and safety checks will need to be completed within 15 days.

### **Understanding the contribution of individual assets**

Currently we have no comprehensive HRA asset management strategy. We cannot determine where and how best to invest in our stock and although we are 'data rich' we are 'business intelligence poor'. Although it might be tempting to solve immediate funding issues with sales, selling the 'right' stock is the key to good asset management. Our proposed approach to asset management planning will be based around an asset management wheel (set out below). Simplistically, this requires that we:


- Understand where we currently are with our assets
- Decide where we want to be
- Agree what resources are available
- Establish the options for moving from where we are to where we want to be
- Prioritise and plan (on the basis they will never have enough resources or time to do everything), and
- Implement agreed plans



All these activities must link back to the business plan, funding arrangements and strategic options appraisal. In order to start this planning process effectively, we need to have a detailed understanding of how all our HRA assets perform. This mirrors the work that has been undertaken on the General Fund assets side. Such an assessment will need to draw data from different sources as shown in the diagram below:



The outcomes of this model will inform the strengths and weaknesses of the different stock groupings, using a series of Asset Strategic Efficiency Tests. Once the model is completed, it will provide us with a range of asset groupings, which will inform future option appraisals beyond this initial work. This is shown diagrammatically below:



Effective asset management requires a complete and thorough understanding of the contribution that each asset makes. It will be the case in any portfolio that some assets will contribute strongly financially, others on the margin of profitability and a smaller percentage will be loss making. We plan to grade our assets in the same way that we achieved with general fund assets and carry out options appraisals on those that are loss making. This may result in a re-configuration, regeneration, redevelopment or a disposals programme of assets once complete.

This work can be carried out in advance of new stock condition data being available since this data forms only a small element of the overall analysis. This work will be commenced in early summer 2017.

### **Improved ways of working**

At the core of the All Together Project is an increased investment in technology to enable over time:

- More efficient working practices
- Increased use of data
- Better customer insight
- Understanding what our customers value and what they do not
- more effective targeting of services
- Encouraging self-service for those that are able in order to free up resource to make savings or focus on those that really need our help.

We are already reviewing the way we are structured to deliver housing services. This includes a reassessment of:

- The way we handle reports of ASB
- Focussing our work on those that need us most by piloting an 'Early Help Delivery Team' comprising a multi-disciplinary, integrated approach.

This approach is in line with a move to more outcome focused working proposed in the future vision for housing.

### **Improved stock condition data**

Robust stock condition data enables the Councils to plan and to budget for the work required to maintain the housing stock in a reasonable and lettable condition. Accurate data provides confidence that HRA funds are spent on the right work in the right places.

A project is underway to update the data to enable an evidence based programme of capital works to be designed for 2017/18 and the following two years. A fresh sample stock condition survey will be commissioned for MSDC in 2017/18.

### **Increasing Rental Income**

There are restrictions on rental income increases and the current rent regime requires a 1% reduction in rent payable until 2019/2020. This may change with any new Government but cannot be guaranteed.

As with service charges, our processes for charging and collecting rent and the policy of increasing rental income needs improving. There may be opportunities with a strong new build programme to increase rental streams on wider stock as some Councils appear to have done. A review of the opportunity for this and the development of a comprehensive 'Rent and Service Charge Policy' will be undertaken in 2017.

In particular we will review the way in which void properties are treated and how and when rents can be raised on re-let. This is linked to capacity and grant funding for new build homes and our development programme might facilitate that. It is not



possible simply to raise all rents on re-let to 80% of market rent without a link with capacity for new homes being established.

It will be possible to consider some homes for conversion to shared ownership where planning considerations and any historic covenant and funding considerations allow. Permission and guidance will be sought from DCLG on larger scale transfer of stock into shared ownership to inform a policy discussion.

## Summary HRA Efficiency Gains Plan

Identified actions	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21
<b>Sheltered Housing</b>				
Increase in Service charges as part of Budget setting process	(54)	(60)		
Reduction in salaries following sheltered scheme review	(51)	(20)		
Rents from GF for using Sheltered Housing Accommodation as Landing Points		(9)		
<b>Leaseholders</b>				
Increase in Service charges as part of leaseholder review		(8)		
General Service Charges Increase		(17)	(17)	(17)
Rechargeable works to be invoiced to private tenants		(5)		
<b>Voids</b>				
Reduction in number of void days to 21 over four years thereby increasing rental income		(10)	(10)	(10)
Assets earmarked for potential development are not void until absolutely necessary thereby increasing rental income		(9)		
<b>Property Services</b>				
Recharging Health and Safety employee costs when used by other ODT's		(7)		
Components costs reduction following tender coming up for renewal		(30)	(30)	(40)
	<b>(105)</b>	<b>(175)</b>	<b>(57)</b>	<b>(67)</b>

## 7. BABERGH AND MID SUFFOLK BUILDING SERVICES (BMBS)

The BMBS business plan and its operations have been the subject of a rigorous review and the financial projections originally reported have been revised and incorporated into the HRA financial plan. The revised projections can be found below and now highlights a more challenging position than that anticipated when agreed in June 2016.

BMBS, launched from April 2017, is in a state of transition bringing together, as it does, two different organisations with diverse operating practices. There was an implementation plan produced in advance of amalgamation, and the critical tasks in that plan are being worked through by the new Service Manager recently appointed. The team is aware that for BMBS to be successful, this plan will have to be widened and re-visited regularly at a granular level with new tasks added and specific tasks allocated to named individuals.

The original financial projections have been reviewed by the Corporate Manager on joining the team and these updated predictions now push 'breakeven' from the originally predicted trading year 2 to a revised year 4. This presents an undoubted challenge for the team but one that could still result in breakeven being brought forward where there is strong leadership, commitment to change and commercial diligence.

The revised financial projection is as follows:

Type of Works	Year 1	Year 2	Year3	Year4	Year 5
<b>Income</b>					
Capital & Planned Maintenance	1,200,000	1,320,000	1,452,000	1,597,200	1,756,920
Responsive	1,374,989	1,374,989	1,374,989	1,374,989	1,374,989
Voids	745,548	745,548	745,548	745,548	745,548
Other Housing Projects	75,000	82,500	90,750	99,825	109,808
Aids & Adaptations	100,000	110,000	121,000	133,100	146,410
Corporate works (General Fund)					50,000
External Income					50,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,495,537</b>	<b>3,633,037</b>	<b>3,784,287</b>	<b>3,950,662</b>	<b>4,233,675</b>
<b>Expenditure</b>					
Office Employee Costs	265,000	267,650	270,327	273,030	275,760
Manual Employee Costs	1,300,000	1,313,000	1,326,130	1,339,391	1,352,785
Other Employee Expenses	1,800	1,818	1,836	1,855	1,873
Premises	12,000	12,120	12,241	12,364	12,487
Transport	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000	160,000
Materials External Purchase	1,400,000	1,470,000	1,543,500	1,620,675	1,701,709
Sub Contracted Services	463,526	417,173	375,456	337,910	304,119
Support Service charges	147,287	148,760	161,922	163,541	165,176
Other Supplies & Services	57,000	57,570	58,146	58,727	59,314
Training costs	5,255	5,308	5,361	5,414	5,468
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,811,868</b>	<b>3,853,399</b>	<b>3,914,918</b>	<b>3,972,907</b>	<b>4,038,693</b>
<b>Surplus/(Deficit)</b>	<b>-316,331</b>	<b>-220,362</b>	<b>-130,631</b>	<b>-22,245</b>	<b>194,982</b>

These projections have been revised for the latest predicted capital programme and other income including the removal of external income and a reduced pipeline of work on general fund assets as a result of the move to Endeavour House.

Bringing the service in-house offers more control over the quality of repairs and removes the risks associated with outsourcing. But given the geography and the number of properties, BMBS will require strong and detailed management and oversight. The throughput of planned works, a major component of turnover is of great importance. The plans for new stock condition surveys and the potential for a resulting strong planned programme of improvements will help with this viability.

There is a back-log of repairs to be tackled accounted for within the financial plan. The senior BMBS team will be working immediately with the in-house procurement team to set up framework agreements with Sub-Contractors, which when combined with the potential to increase efficiencies through the adoption of work scheduling software, will allow for the work to be completed more quickly.

The level of staff resources is appropriate to discharge the volume of work projected however extra admin, technical and strategic support might be required in the short term to deliver earlier successes. This extra support is allowed for within the plan and held currently as vacant posts. The new Corporate Manager will be exploring what this means practically and request support as the need emerges. The improvement in comprehensive performance and management information at both a commercial and operative level will be also necessary to achieve short term productivity improvements.

Other commercial decisions will have to be taken over the first few years of trading to support the business. Spend on materials is currently high and the differential salaries between the existing team and TUPE'd staff also has a significant impact. Reducing the impact of these overheads could see an earlier improvement in surplus and productivity. A comprehensive list of actions to support BMBS trading is as follows:

1. A major issue to consider is that, on the one hand, BMBS employ staff based upon Council Terms and Conditions whereas TUPE transfer staff are on quite different and less preferential terms. In addition to potential discontent that this might cause, this disparity over time will lead to increasing costs rather than a reduction. Terms and conditions will therefore need reviewing.
2. The cost of materials is currently budgeted at 38% which is high for an organisation of BMBS's size and scale of operation. Early consideration will be given to gaining access to a buying consortium to reduce the costs to a more industry standard 22-25%.
3. One of the key principles of establishing and running an efficient business surrounds the approach to management culture and how the business is managed and operated. It must have a commercial focus and this demands the introduction of a trading account and management information systems to ensure it is properly populated and interrogated.
4. In order that the organisation over time can take advantage of external business opportunities consideration will be given to where the organisation 'sits' in the Councils' structures in future. The case for taking BMBS outside of the HRA will be considered within the first 3 years of trading as performance becomes understood. This will take the form of a full market assessment.

5. A number of operational issues will need to be reviewed to ensure that BMBS operates efficiently in early years of trading, in practice this will require an analysis and understanding of the geography of the operation, where subcontract work might be best deployed, an agreement on repairs processes, service agreements and the specification to be applied to activity such as voids and repairs.
6. Although the operational team is considered appropriate for the size of operation the Service Manager will need some additional support in the short term to deliver some of the key strategic, implementation and business planning outcomes required to make the operation a success. A recommendation for the extent of that support will come forward in the first 6 months of trading. In particular this support will help with points 9) and 10) below.
7. The BMBS team will work with the wider HRA team to develop a clear and appropriate pipeline of planned works for the years ahead. Not only is a strong planned programme important to maintain high quality homes but a well-defined 'order book' is essential to maintain the trading strength of BMBS and help it plan for its future. Stock condition surveys planned for 2017 and 2018 will inform these new programmes.
8. The BMBS team will in future work closely with the business and financial planning team to ensure that when the HRA plan is reviewed annually that all implications of BMBS can be taken into account in its development.
9. A rigorous external review has been undertaken of BMBS and the team will now develop an implementation plan to take the operational recommendations of that review forward in a planned way and developed within 6 months of trading.
10. All the above will need to be incorporated in a 5 year Business plan specifically for BMBS reviewed annually and completed within year 1 of trading.

## **8. THE HOUSING SERVICE**

### **Public access and accommodation – All Together**

The world of government and the public service sector is being transformed by technology, new ways of working, a severely constricting financial environment and public expectations. We have to enable our communities to become more resilient and to rely less heavily on public sector services and resources by being more efficient, flexible, agile, innovative, collaborative and accessible.

Our objective is for the Councils to have improved ways of working that are better for our residents, simpler for our staff and more cost effective for the tax payer, which make it easy for anyone to do business with the Councils, through channels that:

- Are effortless to navigate
- Promote individual and community self-service
- Are available when the customer requires them
- Make work more straightforward and enjoyable for our staff
- Reduce confusion for the public about who does what across the Suffolk System.

The Councils' Public Access Strategy devolves control and responsibility to residents. It fosters community resilience and will enable us to learn from each interaction through utilisation of CRM software. It is customer focused, and promotes an evidenced understanding of the bespoke requirements of individuals, a culture of collaboration and continuous refinement of the way we do business. Together with developing self-service options, this will mean we can focus more attention on those that really need our help, be more productive, thereby increasing the financial capacity of the HRA.

### **Tenancy Services Review**

We plan to review the way we are structured to deliver tenancy services. This will include a reassessment of:

- The way we handle reports of ASB
- Focussing our work on those that need us most by piloting an 'Early Help Delivery Team' comprising a multi-disciplinary, integrated approach.

### **Home Ownership Project**

The Home Ownership project will implement the recommendations of the HQN review of Leasehold and Right to Buy services. The review recommends the alignment of processes across Babergh and Mid Suffolk, the introduction of clear performance measures and a refresh of leaseholder service charging to ensure that the Council's charge and collect the cost of works to leasehold flats. The anticipated deliverables are:

- Reduced costs
- Increased revenues
- Improved service delivery
- Mitigating risk through compliance with legislation

### **Older Persons Housing Vision**

The importance of appropriate and good quality housing to the short and long term health and wellbeing of individuals is widely acknowledged in Suffolk. The Suffolk Health and Wellbeing Board have formally launched a Housing and Health Charter

recognising the importance of collaborative working between housing, health and social care, including a set of commitments that will inform and influence the future direction of all partners throughout the Suffolk System.

This collaborative approach is crucial to ensuring that future housing provision across all tenures meets the needs and aspirations of older people living in Suffolk. The recent strategic review of specialist housing in Suffolk drills down into variables that enable us to gain some understanding of which proportion of the current population of Suffolk are likely to be in need of the care and support services aligned to specialist housing. These variables have then been used to create projections as to how that level of need may change over time, which has also been compared and contrasted with more generalised population changes. The Review enables us to quantify likely demand over time broken down in relation to district and borough areas.

The review examines different models of housing to aid understanding of what currently works well in supported housing and will help the Councils to design future supply to meet the needs of those needing specialist/supported housing, including older people.

### **Mid Suffolk DC sheltered housing**

The County wide Older Persons Housing Vision will guide future recommendations Members will receive regarding its sheltered housing. In December 2016, the Councils approved a new strategy for sheltered housing. Key deliverables of the new strategy are:

- To withdraw sheltered services where there is no demand and convert to general needs housing
- Reduction in the number of schemes
- Providing independent living for the over-60s with minimum housing related support.
- A cost effective service that remains within budget through a more robust service charging regime.
- Where a scheme is identified as having potential for full or partial redevelopment, recommendations will be brought to members when a full appraisal has been undertaken.

### **Fixed term tenancies**

The Council currently offers new tenants a secure tenancy under the Housing Act 1985. The Localism Act gave local authorities the power to offer fixed term tenancies to new tenants. Subsequent provisions in the Housing and Planning Act will prevent local authorities in England from offering a secure tenancy to people of working age in most circumstances. Offering fixed term tenancies will require new ways of working. Changes include:

- An amended tenancy agreement
- New processes for carrying out reviews during the fixed term and an appeal procedure for challenges to decisions
- Provision/encouragement of a range of housing tenures including shared ownership, low cost home ownership and private affordable housing.

Mandatory fixed term tenancies are expected to be implemented in April 2018.

## **9. BUSINESS PLAN OWNERSHIP AND REPORTING**

The Assistant Director (Supported Living) owns and is responsible for the HRA business plan. This involves:

- Maximising the contribution the HRA makes to delivery of the outputs in the JSP
- Producing the HRA business plan
- Keeping the business plan up to date with changes in the operating environment
- Identifying and mitigating new risks
- Engaging with and informing members, senior staff and residents on HRA performance and annual business plan reviews
- Reporting on HRA outputs to members and the senior leadership team as required
- Maintaining a knowledgeable and responsive HRA business plan team instigating training as required
- Engaging with internal and external advisors
- Benchmarking HRA business plan performance

## Appendix A – Summary Improvement Plan

Ref	Item	Detail	Target Completion
A	HRA Business Plan Assumptions	Review annually in light of the prevailing policy and market environments	January 2018
B	Scenario Test	Devise and test scenarios annually in light of the prevailing policy and market environments	January 2018
C	Development Pipeline	Work with the Investment and Commercial Delivery team to take results from HRA land assessment work and develop a pipeline for new home delivery	September 2017
D	Sheltered Housing Review	Deliver findings from December 2016 review	April 2018
E	Property Services/BMBS materials procurement	Review local and regional opportunities for membership of buying consortia with the aim of making £100,000 of savings in materials costs each year for 3 consecutive years from April 2018	April 2018 and annually thereafter until 2021
F	Lettable Standard	Complete a review of the 'lettable standard' and implement new standard	December 2017
G	General Needs Service Charges	Undertake review of charges to GN tenants and develop a methodology for de-pooling rents and service charges.	January 2018
H	Voids	Undertake a complete review of the voids process with a view to bringing achieve a maximum 21 day turnaround of all voids.	September 2017
I	Asset Understanding	Complete a comprehensive asset grading exercise and understand the contribution that each asset makes to the overall portfolio in both financial and qualitative terms.	September 2017
J	Asset Options Appraisal	Undertake options appraisal on the bottom 10 worst performing assets and devise a strategy for each	December 2017
K	Stock Condition	Undertake a stock condition survey	April 2018
L	Asset Management Strategy	Develop and seek approval for a comprehensive HRA asset management strategy	December 2017
M	Rent and Service Charge Policy	Review of how and to what extent rents are set including following void periods. Develop and seek approval for a comprehensive rent and service charge setting policy. Assess the market and options to convert void homes to shared ownership.	December 2017
N	Tenancy Services	Undertake a review of the way in which tenant services are delivered to include a review of costs and delivery mechanisms	December 2017
O	Tenancy Agreement	Undertake a review with a view to moving towards fixed term tenancies for tenants. Develop new policy and implement	April 2018
P	Compliance	Undertake a review of all regulatory compliance within the HRA and develop a plan for improvement.	June 2017